## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES	OF AMERICA	•	
		APPLICATION AND ORDER OF EXCLUDAT	BLE DELAY
$\sim$	-V-	Case No. 11 (130)	
Dennis J	Delució		
b 19 12 which	States of America and the defendant her to 9 2   12 be exclude	eby jointly request that the tine the difference of the computation of the computation of the difference of the computation of	ne period from ne time period within
	information or indictment must be filed, al of the charges against defendant must c		
The parties seek the	exclusion of the foregoing period because	е	
case without trial, ar	ey are engaged in plea negotiations, which nd they require an exclusion of time in ord despite their diligence, have reasonable t	ler to focus efforts on plea ne	gotiations without the risk
( ) th	ey need additional time to prepare for tria	l due to the complexity of ca	se,
Sixth Amendment to this Court adopted p	tant states that he/she has been fully advise the Constitution; the Speedy Trial Act of pursuant to that Act; and Rule 50(b) of the she has a right to be tried before a jury with the she has a right to be tried	f 1974, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161-7 : Federal Rules of Criminal P	4; the plan and rules of rocedure. The defendant nting periods excluded.
Counsel for Defenda	ant		
on the date below, the is hereby excluded in must commence. The the public and the determinant of the determ	n computing the time within which ( ) as ne Court finds that this exclusion of time : efendant in a speedy trial for the reasons	n information or indictment m serves the ends of justice and discussed on the record and b	nust be filed or prinal outweigh the interests of ecause
without trial, the exc	the reasonable likelihood that ongoing p clusion of time will allow all counsel to followed the reasonable time necessary for exerce.	cus their efforts on plea nego	otiations without the risk
( )			<u> </u>
SO ORDE	RED.		
Dated: Brooklyn,	AA / A	United States Mag	zistrate Judge
,		Office States Mai	512240 74450